

DAVID T. BIDERMAN, Bar No. 101577  
TIMOTHY J. FRANKS, Bar No. 197645  
M. CHRISTOPHER JHANG, Bar No. 211463  
FARSCHAD FARZAN, Bar No. 215194

**PERKINS COIE LLP**

Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400  
San Francisco, California 94111  
Telephone: (415) 344-7000  
Facsimile: (415) 344-7050  
Email: DBiderman@perkinscoie.com  
Email: TFranks@perkinscoie.com  
Email: CJhang@perkinscoie.com  
Email: FFarzan@perkinscoie.com

Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**SAN JOSE DIVISION**

CLRB HANSON INDUSTRIES, LLC d/b/a  
INDUSTRIAL PRINTING, and HOWARD  
STERN, on behalf of themselves and all others  
similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GOOGLE INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. C 05-03649 JW

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION UNDER LOCAL RULE 79-5(d)  
FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL  
PORTIONS OF DOCUMENTS DUE TO  
CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATIONS BY  
DEFENDANT GOOGLE, INC.**

Date: January 6, 2009  
Time: 10:00 a.m.  
Place: Courtroom 5  
Judge: Honorable Patricia V. Trumbull

1 Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion Under Local Rule 79-5(d) for Leave to File Under Seal  
2 Portions of Documents Due to Confidential Designations by Defendant Google, Inc. (the  
3 "Motion") was filed on November 26, 2008. Upon consideration of the Motion and the  
4 Declaration of David T. Biderman filed in support thereof, the Court finds there is good cause to  
5 grant the request to file the following document and information under seal:

6 **Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Google, Inc.'s Answer to Interrogatories No. 4**  
7 **and 5 and to Produce Documents in Response to Request for Production No.**  
8 **29, and**

9 **Exhibit 6 to the Declaration of Rachel S. Black in Support of Plaintiffs'**  
10 **Motion to Compel Google, Inc.'s Answer to Interrogatories No. 4 and 5 and**  
11 **to Produce Documents in Response to Request for Production No. 29.**

12 GOOD CAUSE having been shown, the Court finds that:

- 13 (1) The parties possess overriding confidentiality interests that overcome the right of  
14 public access to the record in the above-mentioned documents;
- 15 (2) The parties' overriding confidentiality interests support sealing the record;
- 16 (3) A substantial probability exists that the parties' overriding confidentiality interests  
17 will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;
- 18 (4) The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
- 19 (5) No less restrictive means exist to achieve this overriding interest.

20 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Motion is GRANTED.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

23 \_\_\_\_\_  
24 The Honorable Patricia V. Trumbull  
25 United States Magistrate Judge  
26  
27  
28